	Foreword	17
Ι	General Introduction	
1.	The "Clash of Civilizations"	19
2.	Previous Empirical Tests of the "Clash of Civilizations"	20
3.	The Need for a Re-Examination of the "Clash of Civilizations"	21
4.	The Need for an Alternative Outcome Measure	23
	The "Clash of Perceptions"	26
	Research Questions	27
7.	Methodology	30
	7.1 Proposed Macro-Level Analysis	32
	7.2 Proposed Micro-Level Analysis	32
	7.3 Experiment vs. Survey: Advantages and Disadvantages	33
	Goals of the Study	34
9.	Overview of the Study	35
II	The "Clash of Civilizations:" A Review of Theory and Evidence	
1.	Introduction	37
2.	What are "Civilizations"?	38
	2.1 Civilizations vs. Civilization: A Clarification	39
	2.2 Civilizations: Cultures Writ Large and Imagined Communities	39
	2.3 The Nature of Civilizational Identities	40
	2.4 The Meaning of Civilizational Identification	41
	2.5 Identifying Civilizations	42
	2.6 The Structure of Civilizations	45
	2.7 Driving Factors: Culture vs. Power	46
	2.8 Section Summary	48
	What Does Huntington Mean by "Clash"?	48
4.	The Central Hypotheses of the "Clash of Civilizations"	50
	4.1 The Predicted Pattern of Intercivilizational Relations	50
	4.2 What Drives the "Clash of Civilizations" at the Macro Level?	53
	4.3 The West versus "the Rest"?	55

	Why Should Intercivilizational Relations be Prone to Conflict? Previous Empirical Tests of the "Clash of Civilizations"	57 60
	6.1 Clash of Civilizations?	63
	6.2 The West versus "the Rest"?	64
	6.3 The West versus the Islamic Civilization?	65
	6.4 The West versus the Sinic Civilization?	66
	6.5 Critical Summary of Previous Empirical Tests of the CoC	67
III	Theoretical Framework: From "Clash of Civilizations" to "Clash of Perceptions"	
1.	Introduction	69
2.	Why Should We Test the CoC with Perceptions as the Outcome Measure?	70
	2.1 The "Clash of Perceptions" from Huntington's Point of View	71
	2.2 The Limitations of Violence as an Outcome Measure	72
	2.3 The Global Public Sphere and the Changing	
	Nature of Public Diplomacy	74
	2.4 The Effect of Public Opinion on (Foreign) Policy-Making	76
	2.5 The Contextual Need for Cognitive Heuristics	77
	2.6 Individual-Level Consequences of Out-Group Perceptions	78
	2.7 The CoC as a Frame and Self-Fulfilling Prophecy	81
3.	Conceptualizing the Dependent Variable: Out-Group Perceptions	83
	3.1 Stereotype Content Model	83
	3.2 Image Theory	86
	3.3 Comparing the Structure of Images and Stereotypes	90
	3.4 Synthesis	91
4.	Theoretical Framework of the Macro-Level Analysis	92
	4.1 Huntington: The "Cultural" Factor	95
	4.2 The "Realist" Factor: Power	101
	4.3 The "Liberal" Factor: Joint Democracy	105
	4.4 The "Geographical" Factor: Direct Contiguity	108
	4.5 The "Historical" Factor: Cold War Legacy?	109
	4.6 Integrative Model Proposed for Empirical Testing	110
5.	Theoretical Framework of the Micro-Level Analysis	111
	5.1 Integrated Threat Theory (ITT): Point of Departure	113
	5.2 In-Group Identification	117
	5.2.1 Huntington	117
	5.2.2 Social Identity Theory	118

	5.2.3 Social Categorization Theory	121
	5.2.4 Uncertainty Identity Theory	123
	5.2.5 A Word on the Salience of Civilizational Identities	124
	5.3 The Intergroup Context	125
	5.3.1 Stereotype Content Model	125
	5.3.2 Image Theory	126
	5.3.3 A Brief Comment on Image Theory and SCM	129
	5.4 Direct Intergroup Contact	130
	5.5 The Effect of the Mass Media	133
	5.5.1 The Role of the Mass Media in the Sociocultural Approach	
	to Studying Stereotypes	134
	5.5.2 Mass Mediated Representations of Intergroup Contact	
	as Vicarious Contact	135
	5.5.3 The Attribute Agenda-Setting Function of the Mass Media	138
	5.6 Integrative Model Proposed for Empirical Testing	141
IV		
	"Clash of Perceptions" at the Macro Level?	
1.	Introduction	145
		145 146
2.	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007	
2. 3.		146
2. 3.	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007	146 149
2. 3.	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses	146 149 152
2. 3.	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis	146 149 152 152
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis	146 149 152 152 153
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses	146 149 152 152 153 153
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables	146 149 152 152 153 153 155
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables 5.1 Descriptive Analysis	146 149 152 152 153 153 155 155
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables 5.1 Descriptive Analysis 5.2 Dyadic Regression Analysis	146 149 152 153 153 155 155 157
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables 5.1 Descriptive Analysis 5.2 Dyadic Regression Analysis Descriptive Analysis: The Nature of Intercivilizational Perceptions	146 149 152 152 153 153 155 155 157
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables 5.1 Descriptive Analysis 5.2 Dyadic Regression Analysis Descriptive Analysis: The Nature of Intercivilizational Perceptions 6.1 The Observed Pattern of Intercivilizational Public Perceptions 6.2 "Clash of Perceptions" Without Core States? 6.3 To What Extent Was Huntington Right?	146 149 152 153 153 155 155 157 160 165 168
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>6.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables 5.1 Descriptive Analysis 5.2 Dyadic Regression Analysis Descriptive Analysis: The Nature of Intercivilizational Perceptions 6.1 The Observed Pattern of Intercivilizational Public Perceptions 6.2 "Clash of Perceptions" Without Core States? 6.3 To What Extent Was Huntington Right? 6.4 Focusing on the "Big Players"	146 149 152 153 153 155 155 157 159 160 165
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>6.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables 5.1 Descriptive Analysis 5.2 Dyadic Regression Analysis Descriptive Analysis: The Nature of Intercivilizational Perceptions 6.1 The Observed Pattern of Intercivilizational Public Perceptions 6.2 "Clash of Perceptions" Without Core States? 6.3 To What Extent Was Huntington Right? 6.4 Focusing on the "Big Players" Multivariate Analysis: Testing the Key Hypotheses of the CoC	146 149 152 153 153 155 157 159 160 165 168 169 171
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>6.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables 5.1 Descriptive Analysis 5.2 Dyadic Regression Analysis Descriptive Analysis: The Nature of Intercivilizational Perceptions 6.1 The Observed Pattern of Intercivilizational Public Perceptions 6.2 "Clash of Perceptions" Without Core States? 6.3 To What Extent Was Huntington Right? 6.4 Focusing on the "Big Players" Multivariate Analysis: Testing the Key Hypotheses of the CoC 7.1 Variables in the Analysis	146 149 152 153 153 155 157 159 160 165 168 169 171
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>6.</li> </ol>	The PEW Global Attitudes Project 2007 Measuring Perceptions of Foreign Countries with the GAP 2007 Proposed Analyses 4.1 Descriptive Analysis 4.2 Multivariate Analysis 4.3 Differences between the Descriptive and the Multivariate Analyses Constructing the Dependent Variables 5.1 Descriptive Analysis 5.2 Dyadic Regression Analysis Descriptive Analysis: The Nature of Intercivilizational Perceptions 6.1 The Observed Pattern of Intercivilizational Public Perceptions 6.2 "Clash of Perceptions" Without Core States? 6.3 To What Extent Was Huntington Right? 6.4 Focusing on the "Big Players" Multivariate Analysis: Testing the Key Hypotheses of the CoC	146 149 152 153 153 155 157 159 160 165 168 169 171

	7.1.2.1 Superpower Involvement	172
	7.1.2.2 Joint Democracy	173
	7.1.2.3 Cold War Opposition	173
	7.1.2.4 Direct Contiguity	176
	7.2 Testing Hypothesis 1: Clash of Civilizations?	176
	7.2.1 Descriptive Analysis	176
	7.2.2 Multivariate Analysis	178
	7.3 Testing Hypothesis 2: West vs. Rest?	180
	7.3.1 Descriptive Analysis	181
	7.3.2 Multivariate Analysis	181
	7.4 Testing Hypothesis 3: West vs. Islam and West vs. Sinic?	183
	7.4.1 Descriptive Analysis	183
	7.4.2 Multivariate Analysis	184
8.	Discussion of Findings	185
	8.1 Is There a "Clash of Perceptions" Along	
	the Lines of Huntington's CoC?	185
	8.2 What Drives the Conflict Potential of	
	International Public Perceptions?	187
	8.3 Is the West the "Focal Point" of Intercivilizational Conflict?	190
	8.4 Are Western-Islamic and Western-Sinic Perceptions	
	Particularly Conflict-Prone?	192
V	Micro-Level Analysis: Individual-Level Determinants of	
	Civilizational Out-Group Images	
1.	Introduction	195
2.	The Survey	196
	2.1 Why Use Amazon MTurk?	197
	2.2 Who Are the "Workers"?	198
	2.3 Payment and Data Quality	199
	2.4 Ensuring Data Quality	201
	2.5 Structure of the Survey	201
	The Sample	203
4.	Measures	205
	4.1 Out-Group Images	205
	4.1.1 Operationalization	205
	4.1.2 Model Fit and Scale Reliabilities	209

	4.1.3 Convergent Validity	210
	4.2 In-Group Identification	210
	4.2.1 Operationalization	211
	4.2.2 Model Fit and Scale Reliability	214
	4.2.3 Convergent Validity	214
	4.3 Direct Intergroup Contact	215
	4.4 Perceived Cultural Distance	215
	4.5 Perceived Relative Power	215
	4.6 Perceived Current Intergroup Relations	216
	4.7 Perceived Mass Mediated Image	216
5.	Descriptive Analysis	216
	5.1 Group Images	216
	5.2 Identification with the Civilizational In-Group	219
	5.3 Direct Intergroup Contact	221
	5.4 Perceived Current Intergroup Relations	223
	5.5 Perceived Cultural Distance	223
	5.6 Perceived Relative Power	224
	5.7 Perceived Mass Mediated Image	224
6.	Multivariate Analysis	225
	6.1 Model Fit	225
	6.2 The Effect of In-Group Identification on Out-Group Images	226
	6.3 The Effect of Direct Intergroup Contact on Out-Group Images	227
	6.4 The Perceived Intergroup Context and	
	Its Effects on Out-Group Images	228
	6.5 The Effects of Mass Mediated Images	229
	6.6 Explained Variance	231
7.	Discussion of Findings	231
	7.1 Out-Group Images Roughly Conform	
	to Huntington's Expectations	231
	7.2 In-Group Identification Matters But Its Effect is	
	More Complicated than Expected	232
	7.3 Perceived Intergroup Relations Emerge as the Strongest Predictor	234
	7.4 Perceived Cultural Distance as an Additional Threat Assessment	235
	7.5 Perceived Relative Power Only Affects the	
	Images of "Poor" Out-Groups	236
	7.6 The Effect of Intergroup Contact Matters, Most of the Time	237
	7.7 Media Representations Matter, Especially When	
	Direct Intergroup Contact is Lacking	237

VI	General	Discussion	and	Conc	lusion
----	---------	------------	-----	------	--------

1.	Introduction	239
2.	Is there a "Clash of Perceptions" at the Macro Level? (Hypothesis 1)	241
	Is the West the "Focal Point" of Clashing Perceptions? (Hypothesis 2)	242
	Are Western-Sinic and Western-Islamic Public Perceptions	
	Particularly Conflict-Prone? (Hypothesis 3)	244
5.	What Determines the Conflict Potential of International	
	Public Perceptions?	245
	5.1 Superpower Involvement	245
	5.2 Direct Contiguity	246
	5.3 Joint Democracy	247
	5.4 Cold War Legacy?	247
6.	"Clash of Superpowers"?	249
	Exploring the Social-Psychological Underpinnings of the CoC	250
	7.1 Civilizational In-Group Identification	251
	7.2 Perceived Intergroup Relations	253
	7.3 Direct Intergroup Contact	254
	7.4 Mass Mediated Images	255
8.	Is the "Clash of Civilizations" a Self-Fulfilling Prophecy?	256
	Practical Implications	258
	9.1 Practical Implications for Public Diplomacy	259
	9.1.1 US-Muslim Public Perceptions	259
	9.1.2 China-US Public Perceptions	261
	9.1.3 Western European Public Perceptions of China	262
	9.1.4 Sino-Japanese Public Perceptions	262
	9.2 Improving Images	263
	9.2.1 Direct Intergroup Contact	263
	9.2.2 Mass Media	264
10	. Methodological Reflections	266
	10.1 Using Crowdsourcing for Survey Research	266
	10.2 The Universal Image Scale	266
11	. Shortcomings and Suggestions for Future Research	267
	11.1 The Time Factor	267
	11.2 The MTurk Sample	268
	11.3 Need for Multilevel Analyses?	268
	11.4 Greater Complexity at the Individual Level	269

Table of (	Contents	11
------------	----------	----

11.5 More Fine-Grained Analyses of Perceptions	
of Intergroup Conflict	269
11.6 More Conflict Out There?	270
11.7 When Intergroup Contact is Lacking:	
The Power of the Mass Media	271
References	